

Particular Cases of Special Robertson-Walker Multiply Warped Products Having an Affine Connection¹

DUMITRU, Dan

Spiru Haret University

Scientific Research Center in Mathematics and Computer Science

dandumitru1984@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper we study some particular cases of Einstein equations for special Robertson-Walker multiply warped products $M = I \times_{f_1} F_1 \times \dots \times_{f_m} F_m$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval, $\dim I = 1$, $f_i : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $f_i \in C^\infty(I)$, $\dim F_i = k_i \geq 1$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $m \geq 1$, having an affine connection. We compute the warping functions in the following cases:

A). M is Ricci flat having a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection and all the fibres have the dimensions equal to 1.

B). M is Ricci flat having a quarter-symmetric metric connection and all the fibres have the dimensions equal to 1.

C). M is Ricci flat having a quarter-symmetric metric connection and all the the warping functions are equal.

D). M is Ricci flat having a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection and all the warping functions are equal.

E). M is Ricci flat having a semi-symmetric non-metric connection with the supplementary condition $H = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \frac{f_i'}{f_i} = 0$.

F). M is Ricci flat with a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection with the supplementary condition $H = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \frac{f_i'}{f_i} = (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$.

Keywords: Einstein space, multiply warped product, warping function, quarter-symmetric connection.

2010 AMS Classification: 53C25, 53C50

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and ∇ the Levi-Civita connection on M .

Definition 1.1. ([7], [8], [9], [10]) a). A linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on M is called a *quarter-symmetric connection* if the torsion tensor T of $\bar{\nabla}$, $T(X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y]$, satisfies $T(X, Y) = \pi(Y)\phi X - \pi(X)\phi Y$, where π is a

¹Citation: Dumitru D., *Particular Cases of Special Robertson-Walker Multiply Warped Products Having an Affine Connection*, "An. Univ. Spiru Haret, Ser. Mat.-Inform.", 13(1), pp. 41-56, 2017.

1-form associated with the vector field P on M defined by $\pi(X) = g(X, P)$ and ϕ is a $(1, 1)$ tensor field.

b). $\bar{\nabla}$ is called a *quarter-symmetric metric connection* if $\bar{\nabla}g = 0$.

c). $\bar{\nabla}$ is called a *quarter-symmetric non-metric connection* if it satisfies $\bar{\nabla}g \neq 0$.

d). A linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on M is called a *semi-symmetric connection* if the torsion tensor T of $\bar{\nabla}$, $T(X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y]$, satisfies $T(X, Y) = \pi(Y)X - \pi(X)Y$, where π is a 1-form associated with the vector field P on M defined by $\pi(X) = g(X, P)$.

e). $\bar{\nabla}$ is called a *semi-symmetric metric connection* if $\bar{\nabla}g = 0$.

f). $\bar{\nabla}$ is called a *semi-symmetric non-metric connection* if it satisfies $\bar{\nabla}g \neq 0$.

We have the following two well-known results:

Theorem 1.1. (theorem 3.1, p. 7, [9], *semi-symmetric non-metric connection*) Let $M = I \times_{f_1} F_1 \times_{f_2} F_2 \times \dots \times_{f_m} F_m$ be a multiply warped product with the metric tensor $g = -dt^2 \oplus f_1^2 g_{F_1} \oplus \dots \oplus f_m^2 g_{F_m}$ and $P = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$. Then $(M, \bar{\nabla})$ is Einstein with the Einstein constant λ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (F_i, \nabla^{F_i}) \text{ is Einstein with Einstein constant } \lambda_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \left(1 - \frac{f_i''}{f_i}\right) = \lambda \\ \lambda_i - f_i f_i'' - (k_i - 1) (f_i')^2 - f_i f_i' \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^m k_j \frac{f_j'}{f_j} + f_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^m k_j \frac{f_j'}{f_j} = \lambda f_i^2 \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

In the sequence we will consider special multiply Einstein warped products. Thus let $M = I \times_{f_1} F_1 \times_{f_2} F_2 \times \dots \times_{f_m} F_m$, $m \geq 1$, $\dim M = \bar{n} \geq 3$, $\dim I = 1$ ($I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval), $\dim F_i = k_i \geq 1$, $f_i : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $f_i \in C^\infty(I)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\bar{n} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \geq 4$.

Theorem 1.2. (theorem 4.11, p. 23, [10], *quarter-symmetric non-metric connection*) Let $M = I \times_{f_1} F_1 \times_{f_2} F_2 \times \dots \times_{f_m} F_m$ be a multiply warped product with the metric tensor $g = -dt^2 \oplus f_1^2 g_{F_1} \oplus \dots \oplus f_m^2 g_{F_m}$ and $P = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$. Then $(M, \bar{\nabla})$ is Einstein with the Einstein constant α if and only if the following conditions are satisfied for any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (F_i, \nabla^{F_i}) \text{ is Einstein with Einstein constant } \alpha_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \left(\lambda_2 \frac{f_i'}{f_i} - \frac{f_i''}{f_i} + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2\right) = \alpha \\ \alpha_i - f_i f_i'' - (k_i - 1) (f_i')^2 + \left(\lambda_2 f_i^2 - f_i f_i'\right) \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^m k_j \frac{f_j'}{f_j} + \\ + [\lambda_2^2 + (1 - \bar{n}) \lambda_1 \lambda_2] f_i^2 + [(\bar{n} - 1) \lambda_1 + (k_i - 1) \lambda_2] f_i f_i' = \alpha f_i^2 \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

Remark 1.1. ([10]) The scalars $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. If $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ then $\bar{\nabla}$ is a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection, if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ then $\bar{\nabla}$ is a quarter-symmetric metric connection.

Hence the Einstein equations for special Robertson-Walker multiply warped products having a quarter-symmetric metric connection can be deduced from (2) and have the following form for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda \notin \{0, 1\}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (F_i, \nabla^{F_i}) \text{ is Einstein with Einstein constant } \alpha_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \left(\lambda \frac{f'_i}{f_i} - \frac{f''_i}{f_i} \right) = \alpha \\ \alpha_i - f_i f''_i - (k_i - 1) (f'_i)^2 + \left(\lambda f_i^2 - f_i f'_i \right) \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^m k_j \frac{f'_j}{f_j} + \\ \quad + [\lambda^2 + (1 - \bar{n})\lambda^2] f_i^2 + [(\bar{n} - 1)\lambda + (k_i - 1)\lambda] f_i f'_i = \alpha f_i^2 \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

2. Main results

The aim of this article is to solve the systems (2) and (3) in the particular case, when M is Ricci flat, that is $\alpha = 0$ and all the fibres have the dimensions equal to one, that is $k_i = 1$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$; this implies $\alpha_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, or when all the warping functions are equal. We also consider $m \geq 3$ and remark that $\bar{n} = m + 1$. Moreover, we consider the cases of equal warping functions and the cases of the systems (1) and (2) with supplementary conditions.

A). M is Ricci flat having a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection and $\dim F_i = 1$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Hence the system (2) becomes for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\lambda_2 \frac{f'_i}{f_i} - \frac{f''_i}{f_i} + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \right) = 0 \\ -f_i f''_i + \left(\lambda_2 f_i^2 - f_i f'_i \right) \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^m \frac{f'_j}{f_j} + (\lambda_2^2 - m \lambda_1 \lambda_2) f_i^2 + m \lambda_1 f_i f'_i = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

Dividing by f_i^2 the second equation of (4) one can obtain the equivalent form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\lambda_2 \frac{f'_i}{f_i} - \frac{f''_i}{f_i} + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \right) = 0 \\ -\frac{f''_i}{f_i} + \left(\lambda_2 - \frac{f'_i}{f_i} \right) \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^m \frac{f'_j}{f_j} + (\lambda_2^2 - m \lambda_1 \lambda_2) + m \lambda_1 \frac{f'_i}{f_i} = 0 \\ \text{for every } i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$

We make now the following notations: $h_i = \frac{f'_i}{f_i}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $H = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{f'_i}{f_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$. We remark that $\frac{f''_i}{f_i} = h_i^2 + h'_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The system (5) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^m [\lambda_2 h_i - h'_i - h_i^2 + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2] = 0 \\ h'_i = (\lambda_2 - h_i)(H + \lambda_2 - m\lambda_1) \text{ for every } i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Summing over i the above m equations of (6) we obtain

$$H' = (H + \lambda_2 - m\lambda_1)(m\lambda_2 - H).$$

We also denote by $A = (m+1)\lambda_2 - m\lambda_1$. Thus:

I). If $A = 0$, then:

I.1). If $H = m\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$, then $h'_i = 0 \implies h_i(x) = \varepsilon_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. From $\frac{f'_i}{f_i} = h_i = \varepsilon_i$ we obtain $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{\varepsilon_i x}$, with $\eta_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since $H = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$ we obtain that $\sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i = m\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. The first relation implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m [\lambda_2 h_i - h'_i - h_i^2 + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2] &= 0 \implies \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i^2 &= \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i + m(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) \implies \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i^2 &= m\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variable $\varepsilon_i = \lambda_2 - c_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ then we obtain the following solution: $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{(\lambda_2 - c_i)x}$, with $\eta_i > 0$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = (m+1)\lambda_2 - m\lambda_1 = A = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m [\lambda_2^2 - 2\lambda_2 \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_i^2] = (m+1)\lambda_2^2 - 2m\lambda_1\lambda_2 + m\lambda_1^2.$$

I.2). If $H = m\lambda_2$, then $h'_i = (\lambda_2 - h_i)(m\lambda_2 + \lambda_2 - m\lambda_1) = (\lambda_2 - h_i)A = 0$. This implies $h_i(x) = \varepsilon_i$, with $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. From $\frac{f'_i}{f_i} = h_i = \varepsilon_i$

we obtain $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{\varepsilon_i x}$, with $\eta_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since $H = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$ we obtain that $\sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i = m\lambda_2$. The first relation implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\lambda_2 h_i - h_i' - h_i^2 + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \right] &= 0 \implies \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i^2 &= \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i + m(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) \implies \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i^2 &= m\lambda_2^2 - m\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + m\lambda_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variable $\varepsilon_i = \lambda_2 - c_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ then we obtain the following solution: $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{(\lambda_2 - c_i)x}$, with $\eta_i > 0$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = m\lambda_2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i = m\lambda_2 - m\lambda_2 = 0 = A$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 &= -m\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + m\lambda_1^2 = \\ (m+1)\lambda_2^2 - 2m\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + m\lambda_1^2 - (m+1)\lambda_2^2 + m\lambda_1 \lambda_2 &= \\ (m+1)\lambda_2^2 - 2m\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + m\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2 A &= (m+1)\lambda_2^2 - 2m\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + m\lambda_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

I.3). If $H \neq m\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ (and $H \neq m\lambda_2 = m\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$), then

$$\begin{aligned} H' &= -H^2 + H(m\lambda_2 - \lambda_2 + m\lambda_1) + \lambda_2(m\lambda_2 - m^2\lambda_1) = \\ &= -(H^2 - 2m\lambda_2 H + m^2\lambda_2^2) = -(H - m\lambda_2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $H(x) = m\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{x+c}$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} h_i' &= (\lambda_2 - h_i) \left(\frac{1}{x+c} + m\lambda_2 + \lambda_2 - m\lambda_1 \right) = \\ &= (\lambda_2 - h_i) \left(\frac{1}{x+c} + A \right) = \\ &= (\lambda_2 - h_i) \frac{1}{x+c}, \end{aligned}$$

we can obtain the solution (choosing the positive modulus, the case of negative modulus being analogue) of the following form: $h_i(x) = \frac{\lambda_2 x + c_i}{x+c}$, with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We remark that since $H = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{x+c} + m\lambda_2 &= \frac{m\lambda_2 x + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i}{x+c} \implies \\ 1 + m\lambda_2(x+c) &= m\lambda_2 x + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \implies \\ \sum_{i=1}^m c_i &= m\lambda_2 c + 1\end{aligned}$$

We also have $h'_i(x) = \frac{\lambda_2 c - c_i}{(x+c)^2}$ and $h_i^2(x) = \frac{\lambda_2^2 x^2 + 2\lambda_2 c_i x + c_i^2}{(x+c)^2}$.

Therefore, the first relation becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\lambda_2 h_i - h'_i - h_i^2 + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \right] &= 0 \implies \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\lambda_2^2 c x + \lambda_2 c c_i - \lambda_2 c + c_i - \lambda_2 c_i x - c_i^2 \right) &= \\ -m \left(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \right) \left(x^2 + 2xc + c^2 \right) &\implies\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} -m\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = 0 \implies \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \text{ (contradiction with } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2) \\ -\lambda_2 = -2cm(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) \\ m\lambda_2^2 c^2 + \lambda_2 c + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 = -m(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) c^2 \end{cases}$$

Hence we don't have any solution in this case.

II). If $A \neq 0$, then:

II.1). If $H = m\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$, then $h'_i = (\lambda_2 - h_i)(m\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \lambda_2 - m\lambda_1) = 0 \implies h_i(x) = \varepsilon_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. From $\frac{f'_i}{f_i} = h_i = \varepsilon_i$ we obtain $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{\varepsilon_i x}$, with $\eta_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since $H = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$ we obtain that $\sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i = m\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. The first relation implies:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\lambda_2 h_i - h'_i - h_i^2 + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \right] &= 0 \implies \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i^2 &= \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i + m(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) \implies \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i^2 &= m\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2\end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variable $\varepsilon_i = \lambda_2 - c_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ then we obtain the following solution: $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{(\lambda_2 - c_i)x}$, with $\eta_i > 0$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for

every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = m\lambda_2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i = (m+1)\lambda_2 - m\lambda_1 = A$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m [\lambda_2^2 - 2\lambda_2\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_i^2] = (m+1)\lambda_2^2 - 2m\lambda_1\lambda_2 + m\lambda_1^2$.

II.2). If $H = m\lambda_2$, then $h'_i = (\lambda_2 - h_i)(m\lambda_2 + \lambda_2 - m\lambda_1) = (\lambda_2 - h_i)A$. This implies $h_i(x) = \lambda_2 + c_i e^{-Ax}$, with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since $H = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$ we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} m\lambda_2 &= m\lambda_2 + e^{-Ax} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \implies \\ e^{-Ax} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i &= 0 \implies \\ \sum_{i=1}^m c_i &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The first relation implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m [\lambda_2 h_i - h'_i - h_i^2 + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2] &= 0 \implies \\ Ae^{-Ax} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i - \lambda_2 e^{-Ax} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i - e^{-2Ax} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 &= -m(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) \implies \\ e^{-2Ax} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 &= m(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) \implies \tag{8} \\ \implies \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 = \\ m(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) = 0 \implies \\ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 (\text{contradiction with } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we don't have any solution in this case.

II.3). If $H \neq m\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ and $H \neq m\lambda_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} H' &= -H^2 + H[(m-1)\lambda_2 + m\lambda_1] + \lambda_2(m\lambda_2 - m^2\lambda_1) = \\ &= -\left[H - \frac{(m-1)\lambda_2 + m\lambda_1}{2}\right]^2 + \frac{[(m+1)\lambda_2 - m\lambda_1]^2}{4} = \\ &= -\left(H - \frac{B}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{A}{2}\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $B = (m-1)\lambda_2 + m\lambda_1$. We obtain that $A + B = 2m\lambda_2$ and $B - A = -2\lambda_2 + 2m\lambda_1$. We also denote by $\delta = \frac{B+A}{2} = m\lambda_2$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{B-A}{2} = -\lambda_2 + m\lambda_1$.

Hence, considering the positive modulus, the case of negative modulus being analogue, we obtain the solution of the form $H(x) = \frac{\delta e^{Ax} - \varepsilon K}{e^{Ax} - K} = \delta + \frac{AK}{e^{Ax} - K}$, where $K > 0$. Remark that $\delta - \varepsilon = A$.

Since $h'_i = (\lambda_2 - h_i) \left(\delta + \frac{AK}{e^{Ax} - K} - \varepsilon \right) = (\lambda_2 - h_i) \left(A + \frac{AK}{e^{Ax} - K} \right)$, we can obtain the solution of the following form: $h_i(x) = \frac{\lambda_2 e^{Ax} + c_i}{e^{Ax} - K}$, with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We remark that since $H = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta + \frac{AK}{e^{Ax} - K} &= \frac{m\lambda_2 e^{Ax} + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i}{e^{Ax} - K} \implies \\ \delta e^{Ax} - \delta K + AK &= m\lambda_2 e^{Ax} + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \implies \\ \begin{cases} m\lambda_2 = \delta \\ -\delta K + AK = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \end{cases} &\implies \sum_{i=1}^m c_i = -\varepsilon K \end{aligned}$$

We remark that $A - \delta = -\varepsilon$. We also have

$$h'_i(x) = \frac{\lambda_2 A e^{Ax}}{e^{Ax} - K} - \frac{A\lambda_2 e^{2Ax} + c_i A e^{Ax}}{(e^{Ax} - K)^2}$$

and

$$h_i^2(x) = \frac{\lambda_2^2 e^{2Ax} + 2\lambda_2 c_i e^{Ax} + c_i^2}{(e^{Ax} - K)^2}.$$

Thus the first relation becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\lambda_2 h_i - h'_i - h_i^2 + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \right] &= 0 \implies \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[e^{Ax} (-\lambda_2^2 K + \lambda_2 AK + Ac_i - \lambda_2 c_i) - (\lambda_2 c_i K + c_i^2) \right] &= \\ -m (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) (e^{2Ax} - 2K e^{Ax} + K^2) &\implies \\ e^{Ax} (-m\lambda_2^2 K + m\lambda_2 AK - AK\varepsilon + \lambda_2 K\varepsilon) + \lambda_2 K^2 \varepsilon - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 &= \\ -m (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) (e^{2Ax} - 2K e^{Ax} + K^2) &\implies \\ -m (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) = 0 &\implies \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \text{ (contradiction with } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we don't have any solution in this case.

B). M is Ricci flat having a quarter-symmetric metric connection and $\dim F_i = 1$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Hence the system (3) becomes for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\lambda \frac{f'_i}{f_i} - \frac{f''_i}{f_i} \right) = 0 \\ -f_i f''_i + \left(\lambda f_i^2 - f_i f'_i \right) \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^m \frac{f'_j}{f_j} - (m-1)\lambda^2 f_i^2 + m\lambda f_i f'_i = 0 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Dividing by f_i^2 the second equation of (9) one can obtain the equivalent form:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\lambda \frac{f'_i}{f_i} - \frac{f''_i}{f_i} \right) = 0 \\ -\frac{f''_i}{f_i} + \left(\lambda - \frac{f'_i}{f_i} \right) \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^m \frac{f'_j}{f_j} - (m-1)\lambda^2 + m\lambda \frac{f'_i}{f_i} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

We make now the following notations: $h_i = \frac{f'_i}{f_i}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $H = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{f'_i}{f_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$. We remark that $\frac{f''_i}{f_i} = h_i^2 + h'_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The system (10) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\lambda_2 h_i - h'_i - h_i^2 \right] = 0 \\ h'_i = (h_i - \lambda) [(m-1)\lambda - H] \text{ for every } i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Summing over i the above m equations of (11) we obtain $H' = (H - m\lambda) [(m-1)\lambda - H]$. Thus:

1). If $H = (m-1)\lambda$, then $h'_i = 0$ which implies $h_i = c_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. From $\frac{f'_i}{f_i} = h_i = c_i$ we obtain $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{c_i x}$, with $\eta_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since $H = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$ we obtain that $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = (m-1)\lambda$. The first relation implies:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\lambda h_i - h'_i - h_i^2 \right] = 0 \implies$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 = (m-1)\lambda^2$$

2). If $H = m\lambda$, then $h'_i = -(h_i - \lambda)\lambda$ which implies $h_i(x) = \lambda + c_i e^{-\lambda x}$, with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. From $H = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$ we obtain that

$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = 0$. Also the first relation implies:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\lambda h_i - h'_i - h_i^2 \right] = 0 \implies$$

$$\left(-e^{-2\lambda x} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 = 0 \implies c_i = 0 \text{ for every } i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

Hence $h_i(x) = \lambda$ and thus $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{\lambda x}$, with $\eta_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

3). If $H \neq (m-1)\lambda$ and $H \neq m\lambda$, then $H' = -H^2 + (2m-1)\lambda H - m(m-1)\lambda^2 = -\left[H - \frac{(2m-1)\lambda}{2} \right]^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^2$. Hence, taking into consideration the positive modulus, the case of negative modulus being similar, we obtain $H(x) = m\lambda + \frac{\lambda c}{e^{\lambda x} - c}$, with $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

Thus $h'_i = (h_i - \lambda) \left(-\lambda - \frac{\lambda c}{e^{\lambda x} - c} \right)$ and we have $h_i(x) = \frac{\lambda e^{\lambda x + c_i}}{e^{\lambda x} - c}$, with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. From the relation $H = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$ we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = -(m-1)\lambda c$. Also the first relation implies:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\lambda h_i - h'_i - h_i^2 \right] = 0 \implies$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 = (m-1)\lambda^2 c^2$$

Hence $\frac{f_i}{f_i} = h_i$ implies $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{-\frac{c_i}{c}} (e^{\lambda x} - c)^{1 + \frac{c_i}{\lambda c}}$. Making the change of variable $\varepsilon_i = -\frac{c_i}{c}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we obtain the solution of the following form $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{\varepsilon_i x} (e^{\lambda x} - c)^{1 - \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\lambda}}$, with $\eta_i > 0$, $c \neq 0$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i = -\frac{1}{c} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i = (m-1)\lambda$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i^2 = \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 = (m-1)\lambda^2$. Also, making another change of variable $\delta_i = \lambda - \varepsilon_i$ for every $i \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{(\lambda - \delta_i)x} (e^{\lambda x} - c)^{\frac{\delta_i}{\lambda}}$, with $\eta_i > 0$, $c \neq 0$, $\delta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i = m\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i = \lambda$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m (\lambda^2 - 2\lambda\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_i^2) = m\lambda^2 - 2\lambda(m-1)\lambda + (m-1)\lambda^2 = \lambda^2$.

C). M is Ricci flat having a quarter-symmetric metric connection and all the warping functions are equal. Thus the system (3) becomes for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \left(\lambda \frac{f'_i}{f_i} - \frac{f''_i}{f_i} \right) = 0 \\ \alpha_i - f f'' - (k_i - 1) (f')^2 + \left(\lambda f^2 - f f' \right) \frac{f'_i}{f_i} (\bar{n} - 1 - k_i) + \\ \quad + (2 - \bar{n}) \lambda^2 f^2 + (\bar{n} + k_i - 2) \lambda f f' = 0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

We obtain that $\alpha_i = \alpha_0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and the system (12) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} f'' = \lambda f' \\ \alpha_0 - f f'' + (2 - \bar{n}) (f')^2 + (2\bar{n} - 3) \lambda f f' + (2 - \bar{n}) \lambda^2 f^2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

which is also equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} f'' = \lambda f' \\ \alpha_0 + (2 - \bar{n}) (f' - \lambda f)^2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Hence the solution is $f(x) = \frac{c}{\lambda} e^{\lambda x} + d$, with $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha_0 = (\bar{n} - 2) \lambda^2 d^2$.

D). M is Ricci flat having a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection and all the warping functions are equal. Thus the system (2) becomes for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \left(\lambda_2 \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{f''}{f} + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \right) = 0 \\ \alpha_i - f f'' - (k_i - 1) (f')^2 + (\lambda f^2 - f f') \frac{f'}{f} (\bar{n} - 1 - k_i) + \\ \quad + [\lambda_2^2 + (1 - \bar{n}) \lambda_1 \lambda_2] f^2 + [(\bar{n} - 1) \lambda_1 + (k_i - 2) \lambda_2] f f' = 0 \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} f'' - \lambda_2 f' - (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) f = 0 \\ \alpha_0 - f f'' - (\bar{n} - 2) (f')^2 + [(\bar{n} - 1) \lambda_1 + (\bar{n} - 2) \lambda_2] f f' + \\ \quad + [\lambda_2^2 + (1 - \bar{n}) \lambda_1 \lambda_2] f^2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where $\alpha_0 = \alpha_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

The associated equation is $t^2 - \lambda_2 t - (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) = 0$ which has the discriminant $\Delta = (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 \geq 0$.

I). If $\Delta = 0 \iff 2\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, then $t_{1,2} = \frac{\lambda_2}{2} = \lambda_1 = t$ and $f(x) = c_1 e^{tx} + c_2 x e^{tx}$, with $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ not both zero. Then the second equation of (16) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_0 + e^{2\lambda_1 x} [2\lambda_1^2 c_1^2 + (\bar{n} - 3) \lambda_1 c_1 c_2 - (\bar{n} - 2) c_2^2] + \\ & x e^{2\lambda_1 x} [4\lambda_1^2 c_1 c_2 + (\bar{n} - 3) \lambda_1 c_2^2] + x^2 e^{2\lambda_1 x} [2\lambda_1^2 c_2^2] = 0 \implies \\ & \begin{cases} \alpha_0 = 0 \\ 2\lambda_1^2 c_1^2 + (\bar{n} - 3) \lambda_1 c_1 c_2 - (\bar{n} - 2) c_2^2 = 0 \implies c_1 = 0 \\ 4\lambda_1^2 c_1 c_2 + (\bar{n} - 3) \lambda_1 c_2^2 = 0 \\ 2\lambda_1^2 c_2^2 = 0 \implies c_2 = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Hence we don't have any solution in this case.

II). If $\Delta > 0 \iff 2\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, then $t_1 = \lambda_1$, $t_2 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$, $t_1 \neq t_2$ and $f(x) = c_1 e^{t_1 x} + c_2 e^{t_2 x}$, with $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ not both zero. With $p = e^{t_1 x}$, and $q = e^{t_2 x}$, the second equation of (16) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_0 + \\ & p^2 \{-c_1^2 t_1^2 + (2 - \bar{n})c_1^2 t_1^2 + [(\bar{n} - 2)\lambda_2 + (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1] c_1^2 t_1 + [\lambda_2^2 + (1 - \bar{n})\lambda_1 \lambda_2] c_1^2\} + \\ & q^2 \{-c_2^2 t_2^2 + (2 - \bar{n})c_2^2 t_2^2 + [(\bar{n} - 2)\lambda_2 + (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1] c_2^2 t_2 + [\lambda_2^2 + (1 - \bar{n})\lambda_1 \lambda_2] c_2^2\} + \\ & pq \{-c_1 c_2 t_1^2 - c_1 c_2 t_2^2 + (4 - 2\bar{n})c_1 c_2 t_1 t_2 + [(\bar{n} - 2)\lambda_2 + (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1] c_1 c_2 (t_1 + t_2) + \\ & \quad 2 [\lambda_2^2 + (1 - \bar{n})\lambda_1 \lambda_2] c_1 c_2\} = 0 \implies \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_0 = 0 \\ -(\bar{n} - 1)c_1^2 t_1^2 + [(\bar{n} - 2)\lambda_2 + (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1] c_1^2 t_1 + [\lambda_2^2 + (1 - \bar{n})\lambda_1 \lambda_2] c_1^2 = 0 \\ -(\bar{n} - 1)c_2^2 t_2^2 + [(\bar{n} - 2)\lambda_2 + (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1] c_2^2 t_2 + [\lambda_2^2 + (1 - \bar{n})\lambda_1 \lambda_2] c_2^2 = 0 \\ -c_1 c_2 (t_1^2 + t_2^2) - (2\bar{n} - 4)c_1 c_2 t_1 t_2 + \\ \quad [(\bar{n} - 2)\lambda_2 + (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1] c_1 c_2 (t_1 + t_2) + \\ \quad 2 [\lambda_2^2 + (1 - \bar{n})\lambda_1 \lambda_2] c_1 c_2 = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that $c_1 = 0$ and the solution is $f(x) = c_2 e^{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)x}$, with $\alpha_0 = 0$, $c_2 > 0$ and $(2\bar{n} - 2)\lambda_1 = \bar{n}\lambda_2$.

E). M is Ricci flat with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection and it has the supplementary condition $H = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \frac{f'_i}{f_i} = 0$. Then the system (1) becomes:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \frac{f'_i}{f_i} = 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \frac{f''_i}{f_i} = \bar{n} - 1 \\ \lambda_i - f_i f''_i + (f'_i)^2 = 0 \text{ for every } i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \end{array} \right. \quad (19)$$

Integrating the third equation of (19) using the change of variable $f'_i = g_i(f_i)$, after the computations, one can obtain the solution of the following form: $f_i(x) = \alpha_i e^{\varepsilon_i x} + \beta_i e^{-\varepsilon_i x}$, with $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Putting that in the second and the third equations of (19) one can obtain $\lambda_i = 4\alpha_i \beta_i \varepsilon_i^2$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \varepsilon_i^2 = \bar{n} - 1$. Let be Ω the

following property: $\left[i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_r \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ different} \right]_{\substack{0 \leq p, r \leq m \\ \text{such that } p+r=m}}$. Then, the first equation of (19) implies:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \varepsilon_i \frac{\alpha_i e^{2\varepsilon_i x} - \beta_i}{\alpha_i e^{2\varepsilon_i x} + \beta_i} = 0 \implies$$

$$\sum_{\Omega} (\gamma_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{i_p} - \gamma_{j_1} - \dots - \gamma_{j_r}) \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_p} \beta_{j_1} \dots \beta_{j_r} e^{(\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_p} - \delta_{j_1} - \dots - \delta_{j_r})x} = 0$$

where $\gamma_i = k_i \varepsilon_i > 0$ and $\delta_i = 2\varepsilon_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

We suppose that for every $(i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_r) \neq (i'_1, \dots, i'_p, j'_1, \dots, j'_r)$, with $i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ different and $i'_1, \dots, i'_p, j'_1, \dots, j'_r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ different, $0 \leq p, r \leq m$ such that $p + r = m$ we have $\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_p} - \delta_{j_1} - \dots - \delta_{j_r} \neq \delta_{i'_1} + \dots + \delta_{i'_p} - \delta_{j'_1} - \dots - \delta_{j'_r}$. Hence from the above equation we obtain the 2^m relations of the form: $(\gamma_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{i_p} - \gamma_{j_1} - \dots - \gamma_{j_r}) \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_p} \beta_{j_1} \dots \beta_{j_r} = 0$ for every $i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ different with $0 \leq p, r \leq m$ such that $p + r = m$.

I). Suppose that $\pm \gamma_1 \pm \dots \pm \gamma_m \neq 0$ for all the combinations of '+' and '-', except the cases when all the combinations have the sign '+' or all the combinations have the sign '-'. After a renumbering of the α_i 's and β_i 's, one can obtain the following:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_m = 0 \implies \alpha_1 = 0 \\ \beta_1 \alpha_2 \beta_3 \dots \beta_m = 0 \implies \alpha_2 = 0 \\ \dots \dots \dots \implies f_1(x) = 0 \text{ (contradiction)} \\ \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{m-1} \alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_m = 0 \\ \beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \dots \beta_m = 0 \implies \beta_1 = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

The other cases are analogous. Hence, we don't have any solution in this case.

II). Thus there exists $\gamma_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{i_p} - \gamma_{j_1} - \dots - \gamma_{j_r} = 0 \iff -\gamma_{i_1} - \dots - \gamma_{i_p} + \gamma_{j_1} + \dots + \gamma_{j_r} = 0$ and without the loss of generality we can consider $\pm \gamma_1 \pm \dots \pm \gamma_m - K \neq 0$ for the other combinations different from $i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_r$. We can suppose $i_1 = 1, \dots, i_p = p, j_1 = p + 1, \dots, j_m = m$, the other cases being similar, and after a renumbering of the α_i 's and β_i 's, one can obtain the following: $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_1 = 0$, $\beta_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_2 = 0, \dots, \beta_1 \dots \beta_{p-1} \alpha_p \dots \alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_p = 0$. Suppose that $\beta_{p+1} \neq 0$. Then from $\beta_1 \dots \beta_{p+1} \alpha_{p+2} \dots \alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_{p+2} = 0$, $\beta_1 \dots \beta_{p+2} \alpha_{p+3} \dots \alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_{p+3} = 0, \dots, \beta_1 \dots \beta_{m-1} \alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_m = 0$ and from $\beta_1 \dots \beta_m = 0 \implies \beta_i = 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Hence the function $f_i = 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus $\beta_{p+1} = 0$ and from $\beta_1 \dots \beta_p \alpha_{p+1} \beta_{p+2} \dots \beta_m = 0 \implies \beta_{p+2} = 0$, $\beta_1 \dots \beta_p \alpha_{p+1} \alpha_{p+2} \beta_{p+3} \dots \beta_m = 0 \implies \beta_{p+3} = 0, \dots, \beta_1 \dots \beta_p \alpha_{p+1} \dots \alpha_{m-1} \beta_m = 0 \implies \beta_m = 0$.

Hence we obtain the following solution: $f_1(x) = \beta_1 e^{-\varepsilon_1 x}, \dots, f_p(x) = \beta_p e^{-\varepsilon_p x}$, $f_{p+1}(x) = \alpha_{p+1} e^{\varepsilon_{p+1} x}, \dots, f_m(x) = \alpha_m e^{\varepsilon_m x}$, where $\beta_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\alpha_j > 0$ for every $j \in \{p + 1, \dots, m\}$, with $1 \leq p \leq m - 1$. The other cases are analogous. Thus, taking into consideration all the cases, we deduce that the solution can be written as: $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{\varepsilon_i x}$, where $\eta_i > 0$, $\varepsilon_i \neq 0$, $\lambda_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \varepsilon_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \varepsilon_i^2 = \bar{n} - 1$.

F). M is Ricci flat with a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection and it has the supplementary condition $H = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \frac{f'_i}{f_i} = (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. Then the system (2) becomes:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \frac{f'_i}{f_i} = (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \\ \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \frac{f''_i}{f_i} = (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2 \\ \lambda_i - f_i f''_i + (f'_i)^2 = 0 \text{ for every } i \in \{1, \dots, m\}. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

We denote by $K = (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ and remark that $K \neq 0$. Hence, integrating the third equation of (20) using the change of variable $f'_i = g_i(f_i)$, after the computations, one can obtain the solution of the following form: $f_i(x) = \alpha_i e^{\varepsilon_i x} + \beta_i e^{-\varepsilon_i x}$, with $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Putting that in the second and the third equations of (20) one can obtain $\lambda_i = 4\alpha_i \beta_i \varepsilon_i^2$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \varepsilon_i^2 = (\bar{n} - 1)\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2$. Also the first equation of (20) implies:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \varepsilon_i \frac{\alpha_i e^{2\varepsilon_i x} - \beta_i}{\alpha_i e^{2\varepsilon_i x} + \beta_i} = K \implies$$

$$\sum_{\Omega} (\gamma_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{i_p} - \gamma_{j_1} - \dots - \gamma_{j_r} - K) \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_p} \beta_{j_1} \dots \beta_{j_r} e^{(\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_p} - \delta_{j_1} - \dots - \delta_{j_r})x} = 0,$$

where $\gamma_i = k_i \varepsilon_i > 0$ and $\delta_i = 2\varepsilon_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

We suppose that for every $(i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_r) \neq (i'_1, \dots, i'_p, j'_1, \dots, j'_r)$, with $i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ different and $i'_1, \dots, i'_p, j'_1, \dots, j'_r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ different, $0 \leq p, r \leq m$ such that $p+r = m$ we have $\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_p} - \delta_{j_1} - \dots - \delta_{j_r} \neq \delta_{i'_1} + \dots + \delta_{i'_p} - \delta_{j'_1} - \dots - \delta_{j'_r}$. Hence from the above equation we obtain the 2^m relations of the form: $(\gamma_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{i_p} - \gamma_{j_1} - \dots - \gamma_{j_r} - K) \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_p} \beta_{j_1} \dots \beta_{j_r} = 0$ for every $i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ different with $0 \leq p, r \leq m$ such that $p+r = m$.

I). Suppose that $\pm \gamma_1 \pm \dots \pm \gamma_m - K \neq 0$ for all the combinations of '+' and '-'. After a renumbering of the α_i 's and β_i 's, one can obtain the following:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_m = 0 \implies \alpha_1 = 0 \\ \beta_1 \alpha_2 \beta_3 \dots \beta_m = 0 \implies \alpha_2 = 0 \\ \dots \dots \dots \implies f_1(x) = 0 \text{ (contradiction)} \\ \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{m-1} \alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_m = 0 \\ \beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \dots \beta_m = 0 \implies \beta_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

The other cases are analogous. Hence, we don't have any solution in this case.

II). Thus there exists $\gamma_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{i_p} - \gamma_{j_1} - \dots - \gamma_{j_r} - K = 0 \iff -\gamma_{i_1} - \dots - \gamma_{i_p} + \gamma_{j_1} + \dots + \gamma_{j_r} + K = 0$ and without the loss of generality we can consider $\pm\gamma_1 \pm \dots \pm \gamma_m - K \neq 0$ for the other combinations different from $i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_r$. We can suppose $i_1 = 1, \dots, i_p = p, j_1 = p+1, \dots, j_m = m$, the other cases being similar, and after a renumbering of the α_i 's and β_i 's, one can obtain the following: $\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_1 = 0, \beta_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_2 = 0, \dots, \beta_1\dots\beta_{p-1}\alpha_p\dots\alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_p = 0$. Suppose that $\beta_{p+1} \neq 0$. Then from $\beta_1\dots\beta_{p+1}\alpha_{p+2}\dots\alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_{p+2} = 0, \beta_1\dots\beta_{p+2}\alpha_{p+3}\dots\alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_{p+3} = 0, \dots, \beta_1\dots\beta_{m-1}\alpha_m = 0 \implies \alpha_m = 0$ and from $\beta_1\dots\beta_m = 0 \implies \beta_i = 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Hence the function $f_i = 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus $\beta_{p+1} = 0$ and from $\beta_1\dots\beta_p\alpha_{p+1}\beta_{p+2}\dots\beta_m = 0 \implies \beta_{p+2} = 0, \beta_1\dots\beta_p\alpha_{p+1}\alpha_{p+2}\beta_{p+3}\dots\beta_m = 0 \implies \beta_{p+3} = 0, \dots, \beta_1\dots\beta_p\alpha_{p+1}\dots\alpha_{m-1}\beta_m = 0 \implies \beta_m = 0$.

Hence we obtain the following solution: $f_1(x) = \beta_1 e^{-\varepsilon_1 x}, \dots, f_p(x) = \beta_p e^{-\varepsilon_p x}, f_{p+1}(x) = \alpha_{p+1} e^{\varepsilon_{p+1} x}, \dots, f_m(x) = \alpha_m e^{\varepsilon_m x}$, where $\beta_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\alpha_j > 0$ for every $j \in \{p+1, \dots, m\}$, with $1 \leq p \leq m-1$. The other cases are analogous. Thus, taking into consideration all the cases, we deduce that the solution can be written as: $f_i(x) = \eta_i e^{\varepsilon_i x}$, where $\eta_i > 0, \varepsilon_i \neq 0, \lambda_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \varepsilon_i = K = (\bar{n} - 1) \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \varepsilon_i^2 = (\bar{n} - 1) \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2$.

Open problem. Similar to the point E), we can consider the case when M is Ricci flat with a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection having the supplementary condition $H = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \frac{f'_i}{f_i} = 0$. Then the system (2) implies more difficult equations for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \frac{f'_i}{f_i} = 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \frac{f''_i}{f_i} = (\bar{n} - 1) (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) \\ \lambda_i - f_i f''_i + (f'_i)^2 - \lambda_2 [(\bar{n} - 1) \lambda_1 - \lambda_2] f_i^2 + [(\bar{n} - 1) \lambda_1 - \lambda_2] f'_i f_i = 0 \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Find, if there exists, a particular solution of the system (21) or prove it has no solution.

References

1. A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Reprint of 1987 Edition, pages 274.
2. R. L. Bishop, B. O'Neill, *Manifolds of Negative Curvature*, "Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.", **145** (1969), pp. 1-49.
3. F. Dobarro, B. Unal, *Curvature of Multiply Warped Products*, "Journal of Geometry and Physics", **55**(1), September 2005, pp. 75-106.

4. D. Dumitru, On Einstein Spaces of Odd Dimension, "Buletinul Universității Transilvania", Braşov, **14** (49), 2007, pp. 95-97.
5. D. Dumitru, *On Multiple Generalized Robertson-Walker Space-Time*, "Analele Univ. Oradea", Fasc. Matematică, Tom **XVIII**, 2011, pp. 159-166.
6. D. Dumitru, *On Multiply Einstein Warped Products*, "Analele Şt. Univ. Al. I. Cuza", Iaşi, (S.N.), Seria Matematică, Tom **LXII**, f.1, 2016, pp. 213-220.
7. M. M. Tripathi, *A New Connection in a Riemannian Manifold*, "International Electronic Journal of Geometry", **1**(1), 2008, pp. 15-24.
8. Y. Wang, *Curvature of Multiply Warped Products with an Affine Connection*, "Bull. Korean Math. Soc.", **50**(5), 2013, pp. 1567-1586.
9. Y. Wang, *Multiply Warped Products with a Semi-Symmetric Metric Connection*, "Abstr. Appl. Anal.", 2014, Article ID 742371, 12 pages.
10. Q. Qu, Y. Wang, *Multiply Warped Products with a Quarter-Symmetric Connection*, "J. Math. Anal. Appl.", **431** (2), 2015, pp. 955-987.