

A geometric proof of a non-vanishing theorem on Del Pezzo variety V^n

Alexandru Sterian

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics

Spiru Haret University

Bucharest, Romania

alexandru.sterian@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we give a new proof of a non-vanishing result from [4], concerning first homology group of a line bundle defined on Del Pezzo toric variety of type V^n .

Keywords: *toric varieties, vector bundles, vanishing theorems.*

ACM/AMS Classification: 14M25, 14J60, 14F17

1. Introduction

In [4], we construct indecomposable rank-2 vector bundles on an n dimensional Del Pezzo variety V^n . In fact, we show that for every integer $t \geq 1$ and $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, any non trivial rank-2 vector bundle \mathcal{E} , defined on an n -dimensional Del Pezzo variety of type V^n , by the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V^n}(-tE_p - tE_{2n+2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V^n}(tE_p + tE_{2n+2}) \rightarrow 0,$$

is indecomposable. Our main tool is the following result:

Theorem 1.1. (*[4]*) *Let V^n be a $n > 2$ dimensional Del Pezzo variety, $t \geq 1$ an integer and E_i the toric divisors corresponding to the ray generators u_i of V^n , for $i \in \{1, \dots, 2n + 2\}$.*

Then for every $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have the following non-vanishing result:

$$H^1(V^n, \mathcal{O}(-2tE_p - 2tE_{2n+2})) = 2t - 1.$$

In [4], we provide a proof of this theorem using combinatorial and topological methods. In this note, we give a geometric proof of this result based on the vanishing theorem of Batyrev and Borisov.

2. About Del Pezzo toric variety of type V^n

In this paper we consider $n = 2r \geq 4$ an even integer.

Definition 2.1. *The n -dimensional Del Pezzo toric variety V^n is the smooth Fano toric variety whose ray generators are:*

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= e_i, \text{ for } i = 1 \dots n \\ u_{n+1} &= -e_1 - \dots - e_n \\ u_{i+n+1} &= -e_i, \text{ for } i = 1 \dots n \\ u_{2n+2} &= e_1 + \dots + e_n, \end{aligned}$$

where e_1, \dots, e_n is a basis of M , which we will identify with \mathbb{Z}^n .

Starting from the ray generators u_1, \dots, u_{2n+2} in Σ_{V^n} , split them in two subsets:

$$x_i = u_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n+1,$$

and

$$y_j = u_{j+n+1}, \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n+1.$$

The fan of Del Pezzo variety V^n (denoted Σ_{V^n}) is defined by prescribing that the rays y_i and x_i can not appear at the same time in any cone that Σ_{V^n} contains as part of its support (see [2]). More precisely, for $n = 2r$, the fan Σ_{V^n} is the union of the $\Sigma_{V^n}(m)$ for $0 \leq m \leq n$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{V^n}(m) &= \{ \langle x_i, y_j \rangle_{i \in I, j \in J} \mid I, J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}, I \cap J = \emptyset, \\ &\quad \#I \leq r, \#J \leq r, \#I \cup J = m \}. \end{aligned}$$

In this note, we denote with E_i the toric divisors associated to the ray generators u_i of V^n .

Next, we recall some basic notions and theorems that will need in our proof, the main source for this being [3]. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Definition 2.2. (see [3]) *Let $D = \sum_{k=1, \dots, 2n+2} a_k E_k$ be a Cartier divisor on V^n . The polytope associated to D , which we denote by P_D is the polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ defined by the following:*

$$P_D = \{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, u_k \rangle \geq -a_k, \forall k = 1, \dots, 2n+2 \},$$

where u_k are the ray generators of V^n .

In this case, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the euclidian scalar product on \mathbb{R}^n . Since V^n is smooth, every divisor on V^n will be Cartier.

Proposition 2.1. (see [3]) *Let D a (Cartier) divisor on V^n . Then for all maximal cones $\sigma \in \Sigma_{V^n}(n)$, there exists a unique $m_\sigma \in M$ such that $\langle m_\sigma, u_k \rangle = -a_k$, for all ray generators u_k which appear in σ .*

Proof. See[3], Theorem 4.2.8 . □

The next result provides an important characterization of base-point free divisors on V^n :

Proposition 2.2. (see [3]) *Let D a Cartier divisor on a Del Pezzo variety V^n . Then D is base-point free if and only if $m_\sigma \in P_D$ for all maximal cones $\sigma \in \Sigma_{V^n}(n)$.*

Proof. See[3], Proposition 6.1.1 . □

Proposition 2.3. *Let V^n be a $n > 2$ dimensional Del Pezzo variety, $t \geq 1$ an integer and E_i the toric divisors corresponding to the ray generators u_i of V^n , for $i \in \{1, \dots, 2n + 2\}$.*

Then for every $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the divisor

$$D = 2tE_p + 2tE_{2n+2}$$

is base-point free.

Proof. The fact that the divisor $D = 2tE_p + 2tE_{2n+2}$ with $t \geq 1$ an integer is base-point free is a direct implication of Proposition 2.2.

First, we compute the polytop associated to the divisor D .

Using the definition 2.2, we find that the polytop corresponding to the divisor $D = 2tE_p + 2tE_{2n+2}$ is

$$P_{2tE_p+2tE_{2n+2}} = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that}$$

$$\langle x, e_1 \rangle \geq 0$$

...

$$\langle x, e_{p-1} \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\langle x, e_p \rangle \geq -2t$$

$$\langle x, e_{p+1} \rangle \geq 0$$

...

$$\langle x, -e_1 - \dots - e_n \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\langle x, -e_1 \rangle \geq 0$$

...

$$\langle x, -e_n \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\langle x, e_1 + \dots + e_n \rangle \geq -2t\}.$$

Thus, $P_{2tE_p+2tE_{2n+2}} = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that}$

$$x_1 = \dots x_{p-1} = x_{p+1} = \dots = x_n = 0,$$

$$\text{and } 0 \geq x_p \geq -2t\}.$$

Obviously, $P_{2tE_p+2tE_{2n+2}}$ is convex.

Next, we determine the Cartier data m_σ corresponding to $D = 2tE_p + 2tE_{2n+2}$. For this divisor, the only $a_k \neq 0$ are $a_p = 2t$ and $a_{2n+2} = 2t$. Moreover, we can easily show using Proposition 2.1, that for every maximal cone $\sigma \in \Sigma_{V^n}(n)$, $m_\sigma = -2te_p$, only if one of the ray generators $v_p = e_p$ or $v_{2n+2} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$ is contained in σ . For all the others maximal cone σ that don't contain v_p or v_{2n+2} , it follows $m_\sigma = 0_{\mathbb{R}^n}$.

As a consequence, a simple verification shows that for every $\sigma \in \Sigma_{V^n}(n)$, $m_\sigma \in P_{2tE_p+2tE_{2n+2}}$.

Therefore, the divisor $D = 2tE_p + 2tE_{2n+2}$ with $t \geq 1$ verifies the hypothesis of Proposition 2.2, and so is base-point free. \square

For the proof of the main result of this note we will need the next theorem:

Theorem 2.1. (Batyrev-Borisov)(see [3])

Let D be a Cartier divisor on a toric variety X . Assume that D is nef then:

- $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = 0, \forall i \neq \dim P_D.$

- $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = \bigoplus_{m \in \text{Relint}(P_D) \cap M} \mathbb{C} \cdot \chi^{-m},$ for $i = \dim P_D,$ where $\text{Relint}(P_D)$ denotes the relative interior of the polytop $P_D.$

Proof. See[3], Theorem 9.2.7 . \square

To apply this result to our situation we only need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. (see [3]) Let D be a Cartier divisor on a toric variety X such that the fan Σ_X has convex support. Then D is nef if and only if lD is base-point free for some integer $l > 0$.

Proof. See[3], Lemma 9.2.1 . \square

3. The Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. From Proposition 2.3, we saw that the divisor $D = 2tE_p + 2tE_{2n+2}$ is base-point free, so applying Lemma 2.1, we deduce that D is nef.

As a consequence, Theorem 2.1 implies

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_{V^n}(-2tE_p - 2tE_{2n+2})) = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq \dim P_{2tE_p+2tE_{2n+2}}.$$

Since $P_{2tE_p+2tE_{2n+2}} = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that}$

$$x_1 = \dots x_{p-1} = x_{p+1} = \dots = x_n = 0,$$

$$\text{and } 0 \geq x_p \geq -2t\},$$

it follows that $\dim P_{2tE_p+2tE_{2n+2}} = 1$.

On the other side, $\text{Relint}(P_D) \cap M = \{-1, \dots, -2t + 1\}$.

Therefore, $H^1(V^n, \mathcal{O}_{V^n}(-2tE_p - 2tE_{2n+2})) = 2t - 1$. □

Acknowledgements The author expresses his thanks to Roland Abuaf for interesting discussions on the subject of this note.

References

1. L.Borisov, Z. Hua *On the conjecture of King for smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stacks*, Advances in Mathematics, 221-1:277-301, 2009.
2. C.Casagrande, *Centrally symmetric generators in toric Fano varieties*, Manuscripta Math. 111:471-485, 2003.
3. D.A. Cox, J.B. Little, H.K. Schenck *Toric Varieties*, Graduates Studies in Mathematics Volume 124, American Mathematical Society 2011
4. G. Cotignoli and A. Sterian *Existence of indecomposable rank-2 vector bundles on higher dimensional toric varieties*, Communications in Algebra, To appear, 2012.

